



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*J Immigr Minor Health*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 November 16.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Immigr Minor Health*. 2015 June ; 17(3): 660–669. doi:10.1007/s10903-014-0014-y.

## Associations Between Religion-Related Factors and Breast Cancer Screening Among American Muslims

### Aasim I. Padela,

Initiative on Islam and Medicine, Program on Medicine and Religion, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Section of Emergency Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

### Sohad Murrar,

Initiative on Islam and Medicine, Program on Medicine and Religion, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

### Brigid Adviento,

Initiative on Islam and Medicine, Program on Medicine and Religion, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

### Chuanhong Liao,

Department of Health Studies, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

### Zahra Hosseinian,

Initiative on Islam and Medicine, Program on Medicine and Religion, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

### Monica Peek, and

Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

### Farr Curlin

Trent Center for Bioethics, Humanities & History of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

## Abstract

American Muslims have low rates of mammography utilization, and research suggests that religious values influence their health-seeking behaviors. We assessed associations between religion-related factors and breast cancer screening in this population. A diverse group of Muslim women were recruited from mosques and Muslim organization sites in Greater Chicago to self-administer a survey incorporating measures of fatalism, religiosity, discrimination, and Islamic modesty. 254 surveys were collected of which 240 met age inclusion criteria (40 years of age or

older). Of the 240, 72 respondents were Arab, 71 South Asian, 59 African American, and 38 identified with another ethnicity. 77 % of respondents had at least one mammogram in their lifetime, yet 37 % had not obtained mammography within the past 2 years. In multivariate models, positive religious coping, and perceived religious discrimination in healthcare were negatively associated with having a mammogram in the past 2 years, while having a PCP was positively associated. Ever having a mammogram was positively associated with increasing age and years of US residency, and knowing someone with breast cancer. Promoting biennial mammography among American Muslims may require addressing ideas about religious coping and combating perceived religious discrimination through tailored interventions.

## Keywords

Mammography; Islam; Fatalism; Modesty; Cancer screening disparities

---

## Introduction

Increased mammography screening has contributed to a significant portion of the nearly 20 % decline in breast cancer mortality in the past 20 years [1–4]. However, both the decline in mortality and mammography screening rates are lower in racial and ethnic minorities [1, 5]. The reasons underlying these disparities are subject to intense research and intervention. For instance, structural factors affecting minorities are addressed by providing access to doctors and mammography screening at reduced costs [6]. Interventions such as mobile mammography vans traverse social and access-related barriers by performing screening in community settings. Similarly, community health workers, patient navigators, and peer educators simultaneously address educational and cultural obstacles to mammography [7–9].

Religion-related barriers to screening are much less understood, even though religious values may influence preventive health behaviors in ways that socioeconomic or acculturative factors do not. American Muslims are a diverse group of African Americans (35 %), Arabs (25–30 %) and South Asians (20–25 %) [10, 11] that number between 5 and 7 million [11–14], and appear to be similarly influenced by Islam in their health behaviors [15]. Aside from sharing a God-centered framework of health, diverse groups of Muslims seek Islamic guidance when choosing therapies and take care to maintain Islamic values such as modesty during clinical encounters [16, 17].

Few studies have measured mammography rates among American Muslims, yet nearly all report rates of biennial mammography that are lower than the national averages of 67 % for women over 40 years, 74 % for women between 50 and 74, and the Healthy People 2020 target of 81 % [18, 19]. For example, a Chicago-based survey of 215 Arab and South Asian Muslim women over 40 reported 52 % of respondents had a mammogram in the previous 2 years [20], similar to the 54 % rate found in a California-based study of 180 Muslims [22]. Studies of Iranian women in California note rates between 47 and 81 % of respondents having screening mammography in the past year [21, 22]. Surveys among South Asians and Arabs, a significant proportion of whom are Muslim, also note low screening rates. A study of 160 Asian-Indian women in Detroit reported a 64 % biennial mammography rate [23],

similar to the rates from population-based representative samples in California [24–26]. Another study of 365 Arab women in Detroit yielded a 58 % mammography rate [27]. These low screening rates demonstrate the need to better understand screening barriers among American Muslims.

## Conceptual Framework

As there has been little study regarding religious influences upon screening, we sought to assess relationships between several religion-related factors and breast cancer screening in this group. Using the Islamic Influences on Health Behavior Model (IIHB) as a framework for understanding how religious beliefs, values and identity impact cancer screening behaviors we hypothesized that, fatalistic beliefs such as cancer is fated or is a death sentence would be associated with lower screening rates based on findings from other minority groups in the US [28–36]. We further hypothesized that concerns about maintaining modesty would also impede mammography screening as well [16, 17, 37–39]. Additionally, since perceived discrimination may influence how and when breast cancer screening is sought [35, 40–42], we expected this factor to contribute to lower screening rates.

## Methods

More than 400,000 Muslims [43] live in the Chicago metro area, and the city hosts several national Muslim civic organizations. We used a community-based participatory research approach in collaborating with the Council of Islamic Organizations of Greater Chicago (CIOGC), a federation of over 60 mosques, Muslim community centers, and social service organizations, and formed a Community Advisory Board (CAB) [44] consisting of three prominent community leaders. Potential CAB members were identified by CIOGC leaders as individuals with prominent advocacy roles in Muslim community health. The PI then met with each candidate to discuss the study and invited them to serve on the CAB. Ultimately three individuals felt they could serving on the CAB by providing feedback on study design and survey instruments, facilitating data collection and dissemination, and assisting with data interpretation. The study was approved by the University of Chicago's Institutional Review Board.

## Participant Recruitment and Data Collection

We recruited self-identified Muslim, English-speaking women over the age of 40 from 11 CIOGC-affiliated organizations. We purposively selected sites to achieve near-equal representation of Arab, South Asian, and African American Muslims, and at least one mosque and one non-mosque site for each ethnic/racial group. Participants were invited to complete a self-administered survey, after verbal consent was obtained, and were provided \$20 along with materials on breast cancer prevention and free mammography centers.

## Survey Measures

**Outcome Variables**—The three primary outcomes were (1) ever having a mammogram or (2) a clinical breast exam (CBE), and (3) having undergone mammography in the previous 2 years.

**Independent Variables**—Independent variables covered four domains: Islam-related factors (religiosity, modesty, and perceived religious discrimination in healthcare), fatalism, breast cancer knowledge, and sociodemographic characteristics.

**Islam-Related Factors: (1) Religiosity:** The first item was the Self-Rating of Religiosity (SRR) item which asks respondents to rate their religiosity along a 10-point scale [45]. The second item, taken from the Hoge Intrinsic Religious Motivation Scale [46], assesses agreement along a 5 point scale with the statement “I try hard to carry my religious beliefs over into all my other dealings in life.” Two subscales from the Psychological Measure of Islamic Religiousness (PMIR) were included [47, 48]. The PMIR-Positive Religious Coping and identification subscale measures the extent to which Muslims use religious coping methods (e.g., reading Qur’an) to deal with life stressors [48]. The PMIR-Punishing Allah Reappraisal subscale assesses the belief that obstacles in life are a result of God's punishment. We rephrased items to refer to a “health problem.” In our sample, the Islamic Positive Religious Coping and identification Subscale had a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.9, and the Punishing Allah Reappraisal an  $\alpha$  of 0.78.

**Islam-Related Factors: (2) Modesty:** We drew upon our previous qualitative data to develop 8 items, rated along a level of agreement scale, that assessed behavioral and attitudinal components of modesty (see Table 1). The measure's  $\alpha$  was 0.83 in our sample.

**Islam-Related Factors: (3) Perceived Religious Discrimination in Healthcare:** We adapted the seven-item Discrimination in Medical Settings (DMS) scale by replacing the words “other people or others” with “non-Muslims” on three items (e.g., you are treated with less courtesy than non-Muslims) to assess perceived religious discrimination [49, 50]. This measure's  $\alpha$  was 0.93.

**Fatalism:** We used two measures that are associated with breast cancer screening practices [51–53]. The modified Powe Fatalism Inquiry (mPFI) defines fatalism as the belief that cancer is a death sentence, whereas the Religious Health Fatalism Questionnaire (RHFQ) assesses the belief that health outcomes are inevitable and controlled by God [30, 51]. We rephrased items to be relevant for Muslims, and deployed all items from the mPFI, and the Divine Provision and Destined Plan subscales of the RHFQ [51–53]. The mPFI had a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.80, while for the RHFQ—Divine Provision's  $\alpha = 0.65$  and the RHFQ-Destined Plan, 0.85.

**Breast Cancer Knowledge:** These items were taken from the breast cancer knowledge test (BCKT) [54] and ask participants how breast lumps are found, as well as screening guidelines.

**Sociodemographic Descriptors:** Several items inquired about characteristics known to predict mammography screening such as having a primary care physician (PCP) recommend a mammogram, marital status, and knowing someone with breast cancer. Additionally, conventional descriptors such as age, ethnicity, country of origin, duration of US residency, household income, level of educational attainment and health insurance status were included.

## Data Analyses

254 surveys were collected of which 14 were from women younger than 40 years of age. Since mammography screening guidelines only apply to women over the age of 40 (or 50 according to some authorities) we discarded the 14 surveys from women younger than 40 years. The remaining 240 surveys constituted our respondent pool and were independently entered into Research Electronic Data Capture software by two research assistants [55]. Nineteen women reported having had breast cancer. While responses from these participants were retained in nearly all of our analyses, they were removed from models predicting biennial mammography adherence because this particular screening guideline does not apply to women with a history of breast cancer. Summed scores for the modesty scale, the DMS, the PMIR subscales, the mPFI, and RHFQ subscales were all transformed by assigning each response category a numerical value between 0 and 10. Correct responses to the BCKT items were each accorded a value of one, thereby yielding summed scores from 0 to 3.

Using STATA/SE 12.1 software, logistic regression models were employed to test the associations between all independent variables and each of the outcome variables in bivariate fashion and yielding unadjusted odds ratios. Variables from the two main domains of interest: Islam-related factors (religiosity, modesty, and perceived religious discrimination in healthcare) and fatalism that were found to be associated with outcomes at the level of  $p < 0.1$  on bivariate analysis were next placed into single multivariate models (A, B, C, etc.) by adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics and breast cancer knowledge (where these variables were also significant at the  $p < 0.1$  level) so as to test for an independent association with cancer screening outcomes. When there were multiple different variables within a specific domain, for example the modified Powe and the RHFQ measures of fatalism, each was entered into a separate multivariate regression model so as to avoid multicollinearity. To further avoid multicollinearity only the variable associated with having a PCP, and not referral to mammography by a PCP, was placed into multivariate models predicting breast cancer screening outcomes, and other clinical outcomes (pap smear and CBE status) were also similarly excluded from multivariate models. However, the results of bivariate testing, i.e., the unadjusted odds ratios, are reported in the tables. Interaction terms between sociodemographic variables were analyzed and interpreted when significant.

## Results

### Participant Characteristics (Table 2)

One hundred and ninety out of two hundred and thirty-three respondents (82 % of 233 respondents) reported having had a CBE at least once in their lifetime, while 181 (77 % of 234 respondents) had obtained a mammogram at least once in their life. Eighty-five women (36 % of the 234 respondents) did not have a mammogram in the past 2 years. One hundred and fifty-two respondents (71 % of 215) marked their religiosity between 8 and 10 on a 10-point scale, while 162 (73 % of 223) completely agreed with the statement “I try hard to carry my religious beliefs over into all my other dealings in life,” and 191 (84 % of 227) completely agreed with “maintaining Islamic modesty is important to me.”

### Factors Associated with Ever Having a Mammogram (Table 3)

**Factors Related to Islam**—The PMIR-Punishing Allah Reappraisal (OR 0.87;  $p < 0.01$ ) subscale was significantly associated with ever having a mammogram on bivariate analysis, but not in multivariate models adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics (Model B). All other factors were not significant on bivariate testing.

**Fatalism**—The mPFI and RHFQ-Divine Provision scales had statistically significant relationships with ever having a mammogram on bivariate analyses (OR 0.79;  $p < 0.01$ ; OR 0.84;  $p < 0.01$  respectively), but were no longer significant after adjusting for sociodemographics (Model C and D).

**Sociodemographic Variables and Breast Cancer Knowledge**—Participants who were older (OR 1.1;  $p < 0.01$ ), lived in the US longer (ORs between 5 and 5.2;  $p < 0.01$ ), had higher levels of education (ORs between 3 and 7;  $p < 0.05$ ), and those knowing someone with breast cancer (OR 3.3;  $p < 0.0001$ ) were more likely to have had a mammogram in their lifetime. These relationships remained significant in multivariate models (Models A–D). Having a PCP increased the chance of having a mammogram upon bivariate testing (OR 4.9;  $p < 0.001$ ) but not in multivariate models adjusted for other sociodemographic variables. Additionally, mammography referral from a PCP (OR 9.7;  $p < 0.001$ ), and having received a CBE or a pap smear increased the odds of having received a mammogram (OR 18;  $p < 0.001$ ; and OR 12;  $p < 0.001$ ).

### Factors Associated with Having a Mammogram Within the Past 2 years (Table 4)

**Factors Related to Islam**—Higher SRR trended towards significance ( $p < 0.1$ ) upon bivariate and multivariate testing with those with higher religiosity being less likely to have had a mammogram in the past 2 years. Participants with greater positive religious coping mechanisms had lower odds of having a mammogram in the previous 2 years upon bivariate testing (OR 0.44;  $p < 0.1$ ) and this relationship was maintained in multivariate models (Model B; OR 0.33;  $p < 0.05$ ; Model D OR 0.21;  $p < 0.05$ ). Perceptions of religious discrimination were negatively associated with biennial mammography upon bivariate testing (OR 0.79;  $p < 0.05$ ), and in a multivariate model adjusted for having a PCP and other religiosity factors (Model D OR 0.74;  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Sociodemographics and Breast Cancer Knowledge**—Participants with a PCP (OR 4;  $p < 0.05$ ) had greater odds of having a mammogram within the past 2 years in bivariate and multivariate models (Models A–C).

### Factors Associated with Ever Having a Clinical Breast Exam (CBE) (Table 5)

**Factors Related to Islam**—While perceptions of religious discrimination trended towards significance with a decreased odds of having had a CBE (OR 0.86,  $p < 0.1$ ) on bivariate testing this relationship was not significant upon multivariate modelling (Model A).

**Fatalism**—The mPFI trended towards significance (OR 0.87;  $p < 0.1$ ), while the RHFQ-Divine Provision subscale significantly decreased the odds of having had a CBE (OR 0.80,  $p$

< 0.001) upon bivariate testing. Neither association was significant in multivariate models (Models B and C).

**Sociodemographics and Breast Cancer Knowledge**—Participants who were older (OR 1.1;  $p < 0.01$ ), resided in the US for greater than 20 years (OR 4.4;  $p < 0.01$ ), had higher educational attainment (ORs between 2.4 and 10;  $p < 0.1$ ), and a PCP (OR 5;  $p < 0.001$ ) were at increased odds of having undergone a CBE in both bivariate and multivariate models (A–C). Breast cancer knowledge (OR 1.4;  $p < 0.1$ ) and knowing someone with breast cancer (OR 2.9;  $p < 0.01$ ) increased the odds of having a CBE in bivariate testing, but not when other variables were adjusted for (Models A–C). Having already obtained a pap smear was also associated with CBE status (OR 13;  $p < 0.001$ ).

## Discussion

This study of an ethnically and racially diverse Muslim population found relatively high rates of having a mammogram at least once, but relatively low rates of having a mammogram within the previous 2 years. Rates of mammography did not appear to differ by race or ethnicity, but they did vary based on Islam-related factors. We found that respondents who perceived religious discrimination in healthcare, and those with positive religious coping mechanisms, were less likely to have had a mammogram in the past 2 years. Contrary to our hypotheses, modesty and fatalism were not related to either CBE or mammography status.

While biennial mammography rates in our sample are lower than the Healthy 2020 target of 81.1 %, our sample had screening mammography rates greater than those reported in prior Muslim samples [20, 22]. One of these prior studies was conducted in Greater Chicago [20], and it may be that increased awareness of breast cancer screening in the community explains our higher rates. Additionally, a high percentage of participants reported having health insurance and access to PCPs, which increases access to mammography. Without population-based repeated surveys of American Muslims, overall trends and specific predictors of screening mammography in this population remain elusive. In our sample, Arab, South Asian, and African Americans were all equally likely to have breast cancer screening. This finding contrasts with those from two studies conducted in California in which one found that South Asians were more likely to have had mammograms than their Arab and African American counterparts [22]. The other reported African Americans and Asians more likely to have had screening than Arabs [56]. Since Islam-related factors, but not race and ethnicity, were associated with mammography rates, assessing the religious characteristics of racial and ethnic minorities appears important for cancer disparity research [15].

Since the Institute of Medicine drew attention to the causative role of discrimination in disparities, researchers have studied the impact of societal and healthcare-specific discrimination upon health outcomes [57]. With respect to mammography, societal discrimination does not appear to influence screening rates. Societal discrimination was not associated with mammography in the Black Women's Health Study [58], nor in studies of over 1,600 whites, African Americans, Mexicans and Puerto Ricans in Chicago [59] and

over 1,400 white and African American women in Connecticut [60]. However, in the California Health Survey, discrimination in the healthcare setting was associated with significantly lower odds of having a mammogram for African American, American Indian, Asian, and Latino women [61]. In our study, perceived religious discrimination was not associated with ever having a mammogram, but it was inversely associated with biennial mammography. It is possible women saw initial cancer screening as sufficiently important that they were willing to endure discrimination to obtain it, whereas repeat screening might have been seen as less important. Alternatively, women may experience a cumulative effect of perceived discrimination and be disinclined to return for mammograms on an annual or biennial basis. Because the associations between different measures of discrimination and different types of preventive service use remain inconsistent [59], longitudinal studies assessing the relationship between perceived religious discrimination in healthcare and cancer screening are needed.

Moving from religious identity to religious values, we found that positive religious coping was negatively associated with biennial mammography. This finding contrasts with studies of Church-going Latinas, in which positive religious coping significantly increased the odds of undergoing breast, cervical and colon cancer screening [62]. It is possible that the PMIR-Positive Religious Coping scale tapped into attitudes such as complete reliance upon religious healing to the exclusion of conventional medical care. Qualitative studies are needed to understand how diverse groups of Muslims use religious coping methods, and how women's choices to pursue cancer screening are influenced by these notions.

Surprisingly, we found that Islamic modesty was not associated with screening practices even though modesty concerns appear prominent within Muslim women's health decision-making [16, 17, 38, 39, 63]. Since modesty concerns are often voiced in the context of gender discordant care, and most mammography centers are serviced by female staff it is possible that maintaining modesty is easier. Alternatively, recent campaigns to promote breast cancer screening could have allayed modesty concerns within the Muslim community [20, 64].

Fatalistic beliefs include a wide range of attitudes and behaviors. Some consider fatalism to be an interpretation of religious theology where individuals believe preventing disease is beyond human control [33, 51]. Others think of it as a social dynamic that manifests angst and nihilism deriving from the collective poor experiences of a community [52]. Cancer fatalism is defined as the belief that screening and treatment are futile [65], and “that death is inevitable when cancer is present” [66]. Multiple studies, each using different measures of fatalism, demonstrate independent, negative associations between fatalism and breast cancer screening. These studies have been conducted with samples of African Americans [28, 32], American Indians [36], Chinese, Malay and Indian women [33] as well as a sample of women residing in Mississippi [29]. Others have found the association between breast cancer screening and fatalism to be a function of poverty, lower levels of education, and older age [34]. Our findings seem to support this latter group, as fatalism and breast cancer screening were no longer associated after accounting for sociodemographic characteristics of our sample. However, it is also possible that “fatalistic beliefs” may motivate different health behaviors among Muslims. Illustratively, some statements of Islamic positive

religious coping, such as “I remind myself that Allah commanded me to be patient,” may seem fatalistic to non-Muslims even if they do not lead Muslims to be passive. Ethnographic research suggests that a complete reliance on God, and viewing God's decree as the means of cure, does not exclude receiving medical care among Muslims [67]. Analogous concepts are also found among Latinas [68]. Muslim-specific measures may be able to unpack the influence of “fatalistic” ideas on health behaviors in this group. Developing such measures is important for tailored cancer control interventions since studies have found fatalistic beliefs to be independently associated with cancer outcomes [69–71], even apart from their influence on screening behavior.

Our results must be interpreted in light of several limitations. We targeted respondents who were English literate, as 87 % of American Muslims are [72], and reside within the large Chicago Muslim community. Therefore, Muslims with lower levels of English literacy, and residing in Muslim communities of smaller size may experience different, and likely greater, barriers to breast cancer screening. Selection bias was also introduced as we purposively recruited participants at Muslim organizations in order to assess relationships between religion and screening. Hence our findings may not be representative of the American Muslim community as a whole.

## Contribution to the Literature and Implications

Our work is the first study of which we are aware to quantitatively examine the associations between religion-related factors and breast cancer screening among an ethnically and racially diverse group of American Muslim women, and has important implications for screening interventions. As perceived religious discrimination in healthcare, and positive religious coping significantly decreased the odds of adherence to biennial mammography guidelines, a multi-prong approach, including interventions that address Islam-based religious ideas and practices, may hold the best hope for improving breast cancer screening rates among this growing minority population. Targeting religious concepts related to coping may be best performed in the mosque community setting, while decreasing perceived discrimination could be the focus of healthcare provider-directed religious sensitivity and cultural competency programs. Further, since interventions aimed at increasing initial mammography may not necessarily overcome barriers to repeat mammography, interventions tailored to specific outcomes are required [73]. Finally, our work provides evidence that by focusing only on ethnicity and race, traditional cancer disparity research overlooks the important influence a shared religion may have across racial and ethnic lines. Our findings should spur further research and intervention at the intersection of religion and cancer, and among the under-studied American Muslim population.

## Acknowledgments

We thank our respondents for taking the time to fill out the survey, and our community partners and advisors for their invaluable recruitment assistance and support: Ahlam Jbara and Dr. Zaher Sahloul from the Council of Islamic Organizations of Greater Chicago, Itedal Shalabi from Arab American Family Services, and Dr. Bambade Shakoor-Abdulla of CMECCA. A note of thanks also goes to our research assistants Alison Cook and Nadiyah Mohajir. Finally we want to thank all of the staff members at our recruitment sites who made the data collection possible. This project was supported by an Institutional Research Grant (#58-004) from the American Cancer Society, and a Cancer Center Support Grant (#P30 CA14599). Data warehousing was supported by the REDCap project at the

University of Chicago, managed by the Center for Research Informatics, and funded by the Biological Sciences Division and the Institute for Translational Medicine CTSA Grant (UL1 RR024999).

## References

1. DeSantis C, et al. Breast cancer statistics, 2011. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2011; 61(6):409–18. [PubMed: 21969133]
2. Brawley OW. Risk-based mammography screening: an effort to maximize the benefits and minimize the harms. *Ann Intern Med.* 2012; 156(9):662–3. [PubMed: 22547477]
3. Humphrey LL, et al. Breast cancer screening: a summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002; 137(5 Part 1):347–60. [PubMed: 12204020]
4. Gotzsche PC, Nielsen M. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009; 4:CD001877. [PubMed: 19821284]
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cancer screening—United States, 2010. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012; 61(3):41–5.
6. Henson RM, Wyatt SW, Lee NC. The national breast and cervical cancer early detection program: a comprehensive public health response to two major health issues for women. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 1996; 2(2):36–47. [PubMed: 10186667]
7. Yabroff KR, O'Malley A, Mangan P, Mandelblatt J. Inreach and outreach interventions to improve mammography use. *J Am Med Womens Assoc.* 2001; 56(4):166–73. 88. [PubMed: 11759785]
8. Peek ME, Han JH. Disparities in screening mammography: current status, interventions and implications. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2004; 19(2):184–94. [PubMed: 15009798]
9. Masi CM, Blackman DJ, Peek ME. Interventions to enhance breast cancer screening, diagnosis, and treatment among racial and ethnic minority women. *Med Care Res Rev.* 2007; 64(5 Suppl):195S–242S. [PubMed: 17881627]
10. Muslim Americans: a national portrait—an in-depth analysis of America's most diverse religious community. GALLUP, Inc.; Washington DC: 2009. <http://www.gallup.com/strategicconsulting/153572/report-muslim-americans-national-portrait.aspx>. [13 Feb 2014]
11. [13 Feb 2014] Muslim American Demographic Facts. 2000. <http://www.allied-media.com/AM/>.
12. Smith TW. The Muslim population of the United States: the methodology of estimates. *Public Opin Q.* 2002; 66:404–17.
13. Ba-Yunus, I. Muslims of Illinois, a demographic report. East-West University; Chicago: 1997.
14. Obama, B. Remarks by the President on a New Beginning. The White House; Cairo, Egypt: 2009. [http://www.whitehouse.gov/the\\_press\\_office/remarks-by-the-president-at-cairo-university-6-04-09/](http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/remarks-by-the-president-at-cairo-university-6-04-09/). [13 Feb 2014]
15. Padela AI, Curlin FA. Religion and disparities: considering the influences of Islam on the health of American Muslims. *J Relig Health.* 2013; 52(4):1333–45. [PubMed: 22653653]
16. Padela AI, et al. Religious values and healthcare accommodations: voices from the American Muslim community. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2012; 27(6):708–15. [PubMed: 22215274]
17. Rajaram SS, Rashidi A. Asian-Islamic women and breast cancer screening: a socio-cultural analysis. *Women Health.* 1999; 28(3):45–58. [PubMed: 10374807]
18. 2020 Topics and Objectives: Cancer. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Washington DC: 2012. <http://www.healthy people.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/objectiveslist.aspx?topicId=5>. [13 Feb 2014]
19. Health, United States, 2011: with special feature on socioeconomic status and health. National Center for Health Statistics; Hyattsville, Maryland: 2012. 2012
20. Hasnain, M.; Memon, U.; Ferrans, C.; Szalacha, L. Breast cancer knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and screening practices among first-generation immigrant Muslim women. *J Women's Health; Abstracts from Women's Health 2012: The 20th Annual Congress; Washington, DC.* 2012. p. 34
21. Shirazi M, Champeau D, Talebi A. Predictors of breast cancer screening among immigrant Iranian women in California. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2006; 15(5):485–506. [PubMed: 16796477]

22. Shaheen, MA.; Galal, OM.; Salahi, L.; Aman, Y. 133rd annual meeting and exposition. American Public Health Association; Philadelphia: 2005. Utilization of mammography among Muslim women in Southern California..
23. Boxwala FI, et al. Factors associated with breast cancer screening in Asian Indian women in metro-Detroit. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2010; 12(4):534–43. [PubMed: 19629691]
24. Gomez SL, et al. Disparities in mammographic screening for Asian women in California: a cross-sectional analysis to identify meaningful groups for targeted intervention. *BMC Cancer*. 2007; 7:201. [PubMed: 17961259]
25. Ponce, N.; Gatchell, M.; Brown, R. Health Policy Fact Sheet: cancer screening rates among Asian ethnic groups. University of California; Berkeley, CA: 2003.
26. Kagawa-Singer M, et al. Breast and cervical cancer screening rates of subgroups of Asian American women in California. *Med Care Res Rev*. 2007; 64(6):706–30. [PubMed: 17804823]
27. Schwartz K, et al. Mammography screening among Arab American women in metropolitan Detroit. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008; 10(6):541–9. [PubMed: 18392934]
28. Talbert PY. The relationship of fear and fatalism with breast cancer screening among a selected target population of African American middle class women. *J Soc Behav Health Sci*. 2008; 2(1): 96–110.
29. Hall AG, et al. Breast cancer fatalism: the role of women's perceptions of the health care system. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2008; 19(4):1321–35. [PubMed: 19029755]
30. Powe BD, Finnie R. Cancer fatalism: the state of the science. *Cancer Nurs*. 2003; 26(6):454–65. quiz 66-7. [PubMed: 15022977]
31. Gullatte MM, et al. Religiosity, spirituality, and cancer fatalism beliefs on delay in breast cancer diagnosis in African American women. *J Relig Health*. 2010; 49(1):62–72. [PubMed: 19184437]
32. Spurlock WR, Cullins LS. Cancer fatalism and breast cancer screening in African American women. *ABNF J*. 2006; 17(1):38–43. [PubMed: 16596899]
33. Straughan PT, Seow A. Fatalism reconceptualized: a concept to predict health screening behavior. *J Gend Cult Health*. 1998; 3(2):85–100.
34. Mayo RM, Ureda JR, Parker VG. Importance of fatalism in understanding mammography screening in rural elderly women. *J Women Aging*. 2001; 13(1):57–72. [PubMed: 11217186]
35. Peek ME, Sayad JV, Markwardt R. Fear, fatalism and breast cancer screening in low-income African-American women: the role of clinicians and the health care system. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008; 23(11):1847–53. [PubMed: 18751758]
36. Tolma, E. Is breast cancer fatalism a factor for adherence to mammography screening among American Indian women?. 139th annual meeting and exposition of the American Public Health Association; Washington, DC. 2011. [Abstract]
37. Padela, AI.; Gunter, K.; Killawi, A. Meeting the healthcare needs of American Muslims: challenges and strategies for healthcare settings. The Institute for Social Policy and Understanding; Washington, DC: 2011.
38. Matin M, LeBaron S. Attitudes toward cervical cancer screening among Muslim women: a pilot study. *Women Health*. 2004; 39(3):63–77. [PubMed: 15256356]
39. Yosef AR. Health beliefs, practice, and priorities for health care of Arab Muslims in the United States. *J Transcult Nurs*. 2008; 19(3):284–91. [PubMed: 18445762]
40. Facione NC, et al. The self-reported likelihood of patient delay in breast cancer: new thoughts for early detection. *Prev Med*. 2002; 34(4):397–407. [PubMed: 11914045]
41. Daley CM, et al. Breast cancer screening beliefs and behaviors among American Indian women in Kansas and Missouri: a qualitative inquiry. *J Cancer Educ*. 2012; 27(Suppl 1):S32–40. [PubMed: 22351375]
42. Thorburn S, et al. Medical mistrust and discrimination in health care: a qualitative study of Hmong women and men. *J Community Health*. 2012; 37(4):822–9. [PubMed: 22116737]
43. Logan, JR. America's newcomers. Lewis Mumford Center for Comparative Urban and Regional Research; University at Albany: 2003.
44. Israel, BA.; Eng, E.; Schulz, AJ.; Parker, EA.; Satcher, D. Methods in community-based participatory research for health. Jossey-Bass; San Francisco: 2005.

45. Abdel-Khalek A. Happiness, health, and religiosity: significant relations. *Ment Health Relig Cult*. 2006; 9(1):321–34.
46. Hill, PC.; Hood, RW. Measures of religiosity. Religious Education Press; Birmingham, AL: 1999.
47. Raiya HA, et al. Lessons learned and challenges faced in developing the psychological measure of Islamic religiousness. *J Muslim Ment Health*. 2007; 2(2):133–54.
48. Raiya HA, et al. A psychological measure of Islamic religiousness: development and evidence for reliability and validity. *Int J Psychol Relig*. 2008; 18(4):291–315.
49. Bird ST, Bogart LM. Perceived race-based and socioeconomic status (SES)-based discrimination in interactions with health care providers. *Ethn Dis*. 2001; 11(3):554–63. [PubMed: 11572421]
50. Williams DR, et al. Racial differences in physical and mental health: socio-economic status, stress and discrimination. *J Health Psychol*. 1997; 2:335–51. [PubMed: 22013026]
51. Franklin MD, Schlundt DG, Wallston KA. Development and validation of a religious health fatalism measure for the African-American faith community. *J Health Psychol*. 2008; 13(3):323–35. [PubMed: 18420767]
52. Powe BD, Johnson A. Fatalism as a barrier to cancer screening among African-Americans: philosophical perspectives. *J Relig Health*. 1995; 34(2):119–25. [PubMed: 24264364]
53. Brownstein JN, et al. Breast and cervical cancer screening in minority populations: a model for using lay health educators. *J Cancer Educ*. 1992; 7(4):321–6. [PubMed: 1305418]
54. McCance KL, et al. Validity and reliability of a breast cancer knowledge test. *Am J Prev Med*. 1990; 6(2):93–8. [PubMed: 2363955]
55. Harris PA, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009; 42(2):377–81. [PubMed: 18929686]
56. Samari, G.; Kobeissi, L.; Telesca, D.; Esfandiari, M.; Galal, O. Screening and early detection of breast cancer among Muslim immigrant women: differences among ethnic groups.. American Public Health Association 139th annual meeting and exposition; Washington DC. 2011. [Abstract]
57. Smedley, BD.; Stith, AY.; Nelson, AR. Unequal treatment: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care. National Academies Press; Washington, DC: 2003.
58. Mouton CP, et al. Impact of perceived racial discrimination on health screening in black women. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2010; 21(1):287–300. [PubMed: 20173270]
59. Benjamins MR. Race/ethnic discrimination and preventive service utilization in a sample of Whites, Blacks, Mexicans, and Puerto Ricans. *Med Care*. 2012; 50(10):870–6. [PubMed: 22643195]
60. Dailey AB, et al. Perceived racial discrimination and nonadherence to screening mammography guidelines: results from the race differences in the screening mammography process study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007; 165(11):1287–95. [PubMed: 17351294]
61. Crawley LM, Ahn DK, Winkleby MA. Perceived medical discrimination and cancer screening behaviors of racial and ethnic minority adults. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev*. 2008; 17(8): 1937–44.
62. Allen JD, et al. Dimensions of religiousness and cancer screening behaviors among church-going Latinas. *J Relig Health*. 2014; 53(1):190–203. [PubMed: 22618412]
63. Rashidi A, Rajaram SS. Culture care conflicts among Asian-Islamic immigrant women in US hospitals. *Holist Nurs Pract*. 2001; 16(1):55–64. [PubMed: 15559047]
64. [13 Feb 2014] AHC joins Komen Race for the Cure registration. 2012. [http://www.asianhealth.org/site/epage/137936\\_794.htm](http://www.asianhealth.org/site/epage/137936_794.htm)
65. Greiner KA, et al. Knowledge and perceptions of colorectal cancer screening among urban African Americans. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2005; 20(11):977–83. [PubMed: 16307620]
66. Powe BD. Cancer fatalism among African-Americans: a review of the literature. *Nurs Outlook*. 1996; 44(1):18–21. [PubMed: 8650004]
67. Hamdy SF. Islam, fatalism, and medical intervention: lessons from Egypt on the cultivation of forbearance (sabr) and reliance on God (tawakkul). *Anthropol Q*. 2009; 82(1):173–96.

68. Florez KR, et al. Fatalism or destiny? A qualitative study and interpretative framework on Dominican women's breast cancer beliefs. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2009; 11(4):291–301. [PubMed: 18253833]
69. Greer S, Morris T, Pettingale KW. Psychological response to breast cancer: effect on outcome. *Lancet*. 1979; 2(8146):785–7. [PubMed: 90871]
70. Soler-Vila H, Kasl SV, Jones BA. Cancer-specific beliefs and survival: a population-based study of African-American and White breast cancer patients. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2005; 16(2):105–14. [PubMed: 15868452]
71. Mohamed IE, et al. Understanding locally advanced breast cancer: what influences a woman's decision to delay treatment? *Prev Med*. 2005; 41(2):399–405. [PubMed: 15890396]
72. Pew Research Center. Muslim Americans: no signs of growth in alienation or support for extremism. Washington, DC: 2011.
73. Vernon SW, et al. Interventions to promote repeat breast cancer screening with mammography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2010; 102(14):1023–39. [PubMed: 20587790]

**Table 1**

Items from pilot measure to assess Islamic modesty

Question stem
1. When I am in a mixed gender gathering or outside of the home, I cover my entire body, except my hands and face
2. When I have guests at my home, men and women sit separately
3. An unmarried man and unmarried woman should not be alone together
4. I always look for a female doctor for myself
5. I have delayed seeking medical care when no woman doctor is available to see me
6. Hospital gowns are not modest
7. My clothing demonstrates a commitment to Islamic modesty
8. Maintaining Islamic modesty is important to me

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Table 2**

Sociodemographic characteristics of participants (n = 240)

Characteristic	Number (% of n)
Age, n = 206	
40–49	89 (43)
50–74	108 (52)
75	9 (4)
Median (range)	51 (range 40–85)
Racial/ethnic background, n = 220	
Arab/Arab American	72 (33)
African American/Black	59 (27)
South Asian	71 (32)
Country of origin, n = 226	
US	74 (33)
Other	152 (67)
Duration in the United States, n = 225	
10 years	34 (15)
11–20 years	39 (17)
>20 years	152 (68)
Marital status, n = 223	
Married	160 (72)
Highest level of education, n = 222	
Less than high school	30 (14)
High school	49 (22)
Associates	51 (23)
Bachelors	55 (25)
Advanced degree	37 (17)
Annual household income, n = 213	
\$45,000	122 (57)
\$45,001–\$105,000	65 (31)
\$105,001	26 (12)
Have health insurance, n = 224	168 (75)
Have primary care physician access, n = 235	199 (85)
Have had breast cancer, n = 235	19 (8)
Know someone with breast cancer, n = 235	147 (63)
Have had a clinical breast exam, n = 233	190 (82)
Have had a mammogram, n = 234	181 (77)
Have had a mammogram within the past 2 years, n = 144	111 (77)
Have had a pap smear, n = 233	196 (84)

The total number of respondents to each question (n) varies due to non-respondents

**Table 3**

Relationship between variables of interest and ever having a mammogram

	Unadjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model A Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model B Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model C Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model D Adjusted OR (95 % CI)
Factors related to Islam					
Self-rating of religiosity	1.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.98–1.4)	0.99 (0.76–1.3)			
PMIR: Positive Religious Coping	0.88 (0.67–1.1)				
Hoge intrinsic religiosity	1.0 (0.88–1.1)				
Modesty	0.91 (0.79–1.1)				
Discrimination in healthcare	0.94 (0.81–1.1)				
PMIR: Punishing Allah Reappraisal	0.87 <sup>c</sup> (0.79–0.96)		0.90 (0.80–1.0)		
Fatalism					
Modified Powe (mPFI)	0.79 <sup>d</sup> (0.68–0.90)			0.88 (0.74–1.0)	
RHFQ: Divine Provision	0.84 <sup>d</sup> (0.76–0.93)				0.92 (0.80–1.1)
RHFQ: Destined Plan	0.93 (0.84–1.0)				
Breast cancer knowledge	1.2 (0.83–1.7)				
Sociodemographic characteristics					
Age	1.1 <sup>c</sup> (1.0–1.1)	1.1 <sup>c</sup> (1.0–1.1)	1.1 <sup>c</sup> (1.0–1.1)	1.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.0–1.1)	1.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.0–1.1)
Years in the USA					
<10 years	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
11–20 years	5.0 <sup>c</sup> (1.7–15)	10 <sup>c</sup> (2.0–50)	5.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–21)	5.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–21)	4.0 <sup>a</sup> (0.98–17)
>20 years	5.2 <sup>d</sup> (2.3–12)	4.4 <sup>b</sup> (1.2–16)	2.4 (0.78–7.5)	2.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.96–8.7)	2.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.86–8.1)
Highest level of education					
Less than HS	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
High school	1.4 (0.56–3.7)	1.8 (0.50–6.5)	1.8 (0.54–6.1)	1.4 (0.44–4.5)	1.6 (0.48–5.6)
Associates	7.0 <sup>d</sup> (2.2–23)	12 <sup>c</sup> (2.4–60)	8.1 <sup>c</sup> (1.7–38)	8.0 <sup>c</sup> (1.8–36)	8.2 <sup>c</sup> (1.7–39)
Bachelors	3.0 <sup>b</sup> (1.1–8.0)	4.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.1–16)	2.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.83–10)	2.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.86–9.9)	2.4 (0.66–8.9)
Adv. degree	3.3 <sup>b</sup> (1.1–9.8)	8.3 <sup>b</sup> (1.5–15)	2.9 (0.70–12)	2.7 (0.66–11)	2.6 (0.62–11)
Know someone who has had breast cancer	3.3 <sup>d</sup> (1.7–6.2)	3.6 <sup>c</sup> (1.5–8.5)	2.9 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–6.5)	2.5 <sup>b</sup> (1.1–5.6)	2.4 <sup>b</sup> (1.0–5.4)
Have a primary care physician (PCP)	4.9 <sup>d</sup> (2.3–11)	1.6 (0.48–5.0)	2.3 (0.80–6.8)	2.0 (0.73–5.7)	2.1 (0.74–5.9)
Mammogram referral by PCP	9.7 <sup>d</sup> (3.9–25)				
Have had a pap smear	12 <sup>d</sup> (5.6–28)				
Have had a clinical breast exam	18 <sup>d</sup> (8.0–39)				

Each model represents a single multivariate ordered regression equation

OR odds ratio

<sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.1$ <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.05$

<sup>c</sup>  
 $p < 0.01$

<sup>d</sup>  
 $p < 0.001$

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Table 4**

Relationship between variables of interest and having had a mammogram in the past 2 years

	Unadjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model A Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model B Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model C Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model D Adjusted OR (95 % CI)
Factors related to Islam					
Self-rating of religiosity	0.77 <sup>a</sup> (0.56–1.0)	0.74 <sup>a</sup> (0.53–1.0)			0.70 <sup>a</sup> (0.47–1.0)
PMIR: Positive Religious Coping	0.44 <sup>a</sup> (0.18–1.0)		0.33 <sup>b</sup> (0.13–0.88)		0.21 <sup>b</sup> (0.06–0.72)
Hoge intrinsic religiosity	0.91 (0.75–1.12)				
Modesty	0.81 (0.62–1.0)				
Discrimination in healthcare	0.79 <sup>b</sup> (0.63–1.0)			0.83 (0.65–1.1)	0.74 <sup>b</sup> (0.54–1.0)
PMIR: Punishing Allah Reappraisal	0.97 (0.86–1.1)				
Fatalism					
Modified Powe (mPFI)	0.97 (0.80–1.2)				
RHFQ: Divine Provision	1.1 (0.94–1.2)				
RHFQ: Destined Plan	0.97 (0.84–1.1)				
Breast cancer knowledge	1.1 (.67–1.7)				
Characteristics					
Have a PCP	4.0 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–12)	4.6 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–16)	6.7 <sup>b</sup> (1.5–29)	4.8 <sup>b</sup> (1.4–16)	20 <sup>c</sup> (2.8–140)

Each model represents a single multivariate ordered regression equation

OR odds ratio, PCP primary care physician

<sup>d</sup>  $p < 0.001$ <sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.1$ <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.05$ <sup>c</sup>  $p < 0.01$

**Table 5**

Relationship between variables of interest and having had a clinical breast exam

	Unadjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model A Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model B Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Model C Adjusted OR (95 % CI)
Factors related to Islam				
Self-rating of religiosity	1.0 (0.84–1.3)			
PMIR: Positive Religious Coping	0.91 (0.69–1.2)			
Hoge intrinsic religiosity	1.1 (0.94–1.2)			
Modesty	0.91 (0.78–1.1)			
Discrimination in healthcare	0.86 <sup>a</sup> (0.74–1.0)	0.97 (0.76–1.2)		
PMIR: Punishing Allah Reappraisal	0.92 (0.83–1.0)			
Fatalism				
Modified Powe (mPFI)	0.87 <sup>a</sup> (0.75–1.0)		0.98 (0.82–1.2)	
RHFQ: Divine Provision	0.80 <sup>d</sup> (0.72–0.91)			0.88 <sup>a</sup> (0.76–1.0)
RHFQ: Destined Plan	0.96 (0.86–1.1)			
Breast cancer knowledge	1.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.94–2.0)	1.3 (0.80–2.2)	1.2 (0.73–2.0)	1.1 (0.64–1.8)
Sociodemographic characteristics				
Age	1.1 <sup>c</sup> (1.0–1.1)	1.1 <sup>b</sup> (1.0–1.1)	1.0(1.0–1.1)	1.1 <sup>a</sup> (1.0–1.1)
Years in the USA				
<10 years	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
11–20 years	2.4 (0.82–6.9)	4.7 <sup>b</sup> (1.2–18)	3.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.87–11)	2.7 (0.74–9.9)
>20 years	4.4 <sup>c</sup> (1.8–10)	2.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.92–8.1)	3.0 <sup>b</sup> (1.0–8.5)	2.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.99–8.6)
Highest level of education less than HS				
High school	2.4 (0.84–6.7)	2.5 (0.73–8.4)	2.2 (0.66–7.3)	2.2 (0.61–7.8)
Associates	2.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.86–6.5)	2.8 (0.80–9.6)	2.8 (0.79–10)	2.3 (0.61–8.5)
Bachelors	3.3 <sup>b</sup> (1.1–9.4)	5.2 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–20)	3.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.96–13)	2.2 (0.56–8.7)
Adv. degree	10 <sup>c</sup> (2.0–51)	7.6 <sup>b</sup> (1.3–13)	6.5 <sup>b</sup> (1.1–38)	4.9 <sup>a</sup> (0.82–29)
Know someone who has had breast cancer	2.9 <sup>c</sup> (1.5–5.7)	2.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.87–5.0)	1.9 (0.80–4.3)	1.7 (0.71–4.2)
Have a primary care physician	5.0 <sup>d</sup> (2.3–11)			
Have had a pap smear	13 <sup>d</sup> (5.8–29)			

Each model represents a single multivariate ordered regression equation

OR odds ratio

<sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.1$ <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.05$ <sup>c</sup>  $p < 0.01$ <sup>d</sup>  $p < 0.001$